

Minutes of HotSW LEP CIC Board Meeting 18 July 2017 at Exeter Racecourse Conference Centre

Board Attendees:

Barbara Shaw David Bird David Hall Fiona McMillan Gordon Oliver Harvey Siggs Ian Bowyer Jackie Jacobs **Judith Petts** Karl Tucker Martha Wilkinson Martin Brown Paul Diviani **Richard Stevens** Sean Fielding (alternative for Steve Smith) Stephen Criddle Steve Hindley (Chair) Stuart Barker Stuart Brocklehurst

Officers in attendance:

Kevin Mowat – officer accompanying Gordon Oliver Chris Garcia – HotSW Chief Executive Mark Williams – officer accompanying Paul Diviani Pat Flaherty – officer accompanying David Hall Stuart Brown – officer accompanying Harvey Siggs Tracey Lee – officer accompanying Ian Bowyer Keri Denton – officer accompanying Stuart Barker

Others in attendance:

Eifion Jones - LEP Head of Strategy & Operations Helena Davison – LEP Comms Manager Janet Powell - LEP Executive Assistant (for mins) Clare Paul – Somerset County Council Rozz Algar – SW Partnership Manager for presentation only



Apologies:

Anne Marie Morris MP – representing Devon MPs James Heappey MP – representing Somerset MPs Steve Smith Helen Lacey Mel Squires Sally Edgington BEIS

Table of Decisions

Board Paper Decision Decision agreed 4.1 Change Management of Project Pipeline funding to maintain the LEP & its priorities In future if a Growth Deal project does fail or needs less funding, this paper sets out an approach to be applied to the Growth Deal funds released that ensures the LEP has sufficient funds for programme management. The Board to note:- 1. The board agreed to revisit Jan/Feb 2018 once productivity plan finalised. 4.2 Productivity Plan update The Eboard to note:- 2. To confirm the principles outlined should be published on the LEP's website & used in future decisions. The board supports the current direction of travel. 4.3 LEP Business Plan for 2017/18 The Board to:- The Board to:- 1. Agree the principals for GSW governance. 2. Agree who should represent HotSW on the Leaders Forum. 1. Broadly speaking the Board supports the principles but notes there is more consultation required with the public sector and local MPs. Meetings are planned and correspondence with MPs is ongoing.	Table of Decisions		
Project Pipeline funding to maintain the LEP & its prioritiesless funding, this paper sets out an approach to be applied to the Growth Deal funds released that ensures the LEP has sufficient funds for programme management. The Board to note:- 1. To note the options for how any future funding released could be reallocated. 2. To confirm the principles outlined should be published on the LEP's website & used in future decisions.revisit Jan/Feb 2018 once productivity plan linalised.4.2 Productivity Plan updateThe LEP Board supports the contents of this paper. 1. Note Business Plan for 2017/18The Board to:- 1. Note Business Priorities proposed. 2. To provide feedback on the proposed focus.The board supports the board supports the principles but notes the rest of GSW governance. 2. Agree who should represent HotSW on the Leaders Forum.1. Broadly speaking the Board supports the principles but notes there is more consultation required with the public sector and local MPs. Meetings are planned and correspondence with MPs is ongoing. 2. This has been left open for more	Board Paper	Decision	Decision agreed
maintain the LEP & its prioritiesapplied to the Growth Deal funds released that ensures the LEP has sufficient funds for programme management. The Board to note:- 1. To note the options for how any future funding released could be reallocated. 2. To confirm the principles outlined should be published on the LEP's website & used in future decisions.once productivity plan finalised. 2. The Board agreed.4.2 Productivity Plan updateThe LEP Board supports the contents of this paper. 1. Note Business Plan for 2017/18The Board to:- 1. Note Business Priorities proposed. 2. To provide feedback on the proposed focus.The board supports the principles but notes the principles but notes the grave stress of the principles but notes the grave stress of the principles but notes the prin	4.1 Change Management of	In future if a Growth Deal project does fail or needs	1.The board agreed to
prioritiesensures the LEP has sufficient funds for programme management. The Board to note:- 1. To note the options for how any future funding released could be reallocated. 2. To confirm the principles outlined should be published on the LEP's website & used in future decisions.2. The Board agreed.4.2 Productivity Plan updateThe LEP Board supports the contents of this paper. 1. Note Business Priorities proposed. 2. To provide feedback on the proposed focus.The board supports the current direction of travel.4.4 GSW Board GovernanceThe Board to:- 1. Agree the principals for GSW governance. 2. Agree who should represent HotSW on the Leaders Forum.1. Broadly speaking the Board supports the principles but notes there is more consultation required with the public sector and local MPs. Meetings are planned and correspondence with MPs is ongoing. 2. This has been left open for more		less funding, this paper sets out an approach to be	-
management. The Board to note:- 1. To note the options for how any future funding released could be reallocated. 2. To confirm the principles outlined should be published on the LEP's website & used in future decisions.2. The Board agreed.4.2 Productivity Plan updateThe LEP Board supports the contents of this paper. The Board to:- 1. Note Business Priorities proposed. 2. To provide feedback on the proposed focus.The board supports the priorities in the paper.4.4 GSW Board GovernanceThe Board to:- 1. Agree the principals for GSW governance. 2. Agree who should represent HotSW on the Leaders Forum.1. Broadly speaking the Board supports the ror consultation required with the public sector and local MPs. Meetings are planned and correspondence with MPs is ongoing. 2. This has been left open for more	maintain the LEP & its	applied to the Growth Deal funds released that	once productivity plan
The Board to note:-2. The Board agreed.1. To note the options for how any future funding released could be reallocated.2. The Board agreed.2. To confirm the principles outlined should be published on the LEP's website & used in future decisions.The board supports the current direction of travel.4.2 Productivity Plan updateThe LEP Board supports the contents of this paper.The board supports the current direction of travel.4.3 LEP Business Plan for 2017/18The Board to:- 1. Note Business Priorities proposed. 2. To provide feedback on the proposed focus.The board supports the priorities in the paper.4.4 GSW Board Governance Leaders Forum.The Board to:- 2. Agree who should represent HotSW on the Leaders Forum.1.Broadly speaking the Board supports the principles but notes there is more consultation required with the public sector and local MPs. Meetings are planned and correspondence with MPs is ongoing. 2. This has been left open for more	priorities	ensures the LEP has sufficient funds for programme	finalised.
1. To note the options for how any future funding released could be reallocated. . 2. To confirm the principles outlined should be published on the LEP's website & used in future decisions. The board supports the contents of this paper. 4.2 Productivity Plan update The LEP Board supports the contents of this paper. The board supports the current direction of travel. 4.3 LEP Business Plan for 2017/18 The Board to:- The board supports the priorities proposed. 2. To provide feedback on the proposed focus. The Board supports the principals for GSW governance. 4.4 GSW Board Governance Agree the principals for GSW governance. 1. Broadly speaking the Board supports the principals for GSW governance. 2. Agree who should represent HotSW on the Leaders Forum. Leaders Forum. 1.Broadly speaking the Board supports the principals are planned and correspondence with MPs is ongoing. 2. This has been left open for more Description Description		-	
funding released could be reallocated.2. To confirm the principles outlined should be published on the LEP's website & used in future decisions.The board supports the current direction of travel.4.2 Productivity Plan updateThe LEP Board supports the contents of this paper.The board supports the current direction of travel.4.3 LEP Business Plan for 2017/18The Board to:-The board supports the priorities proposed. 2. To provide feedback on the proposed focus.4.4 GSW Board GovernanceThe Board to:-1. Broadly speaking the Board supports the principles but notes the correspondence with MPs is ongoing. 2. This has been left open for more		The Board to note:-	2.The Board agreed.
2. To confirm the principles outlined should be published on the LEP's website & used in future decisions.The board supports the current direction of travel.4.2 Productivity Plan updateThe LEP Board supports the contents of this paper. updateThe board supports the current direction of travel.4.3 LEP Business Plan for 2017/18The Board to:- 1. Note Business Priorities proposed. 2. To provide feedback on the proposed focus.The board supports the priorities in the paper.4.4 GSW Board GovernanceThe Board to:- 1. Agree the principals for GSW governance. 2. Agree who should represent HotSW on the Leaders Forum.1.Broadly speaking the Board supports the principles but notes there is more consultation required with the public sector and local MPs. Meetings are planned and correspondence with MPs is ongoing. 2. This has been left open for more		1. To note the options for how any future	
published on the LEP's website & used in future decisions.The board supports the current direction of travel.4.2 Productivity Plan updateThe LEP Board supports the contents of this paper.The board supports the current direction of travel.4.3 LEP Business Plan for 2017/18The Board to:-The board supports the priorities proposed. 2. To provide feedback on the proposed focus.4.4 GSW Board Governance Leaders Forum.The Board to:-1.Broadly speaking the Board supports the principles but notes there is more consultation required with the public sector and local MPs. Meetings are planned and correspondence with MPs is ongoing. 2. This has been left open for more		funding released could be reallocated.	
future decisions.The board supports the contents of this paper.updateThe LEP Board supports the contents of this paper.The board supports the current direction of travel.4.3 LEP Business Plan for 2017/18The Board to:-The board supports the priorities proposed. 2. To provide feedback on the proposed focus.4.4 GSW Board GovernanceThe Board to:-1. Broadly speaking the Board to:-1. Agree the principals for GSW governance. 2. Agree who should represent HotSW on the Leaders Forum.1. Broadly speaking the goard supports the principals are planned and correspondence with MPs is ongoing. 2. This has been left open for more		2. To confirm the principles outlined should be	
4.2 Productivity Plan updateThe LEP Board supports the contents of this paper.The board supports the current direction of travel.4.3 LEP Business Plan for 2017/18The Board to:-The board supports the priorities proposed. 2. To provide feedback on the proposed focus.The board supports the priorities in the paper.4.4 GSW Board GovernanceThe Board to:-1.Broadly speaking the Board supports the principles but notes there is more consultation required with the public sector and local MPs. Meetings are planned and correspondence with MPs is ongoing. 2. This has been left open for more		published on the LEP's website & used in	
updatecurrent direction of travel.4.3 LEP Business Plan for 2017/18The Board to:-The board supports the priorities in the paper.1. Note Business Priorities proposed. 2. To provide feedback on the proposed focus.1. Broadly speaking the Board supports the principles but notes4.4 GSW Board GovernanceThe Board to:-1. Broadly speaking the Board supports the principles but notes2. Agree who should represent HotSW on the Leaders Forum.1. Agree the principals for GSW governance. consultation required with the public sector and local MPs. Meetings are planned and correspondence with MPs is ongoing. 2. This has been left open for more		future decisions.	
updatecurrent direction of travel.4.3 LEP Business Plan for 2017/18The Board to:-The board supports the priorities in the paper.1. Note Business Priorities proposed. 2. To provide feedback on the proposed focus.1.Broadly speaking the Board supports the principles but notes4.4 GSW Board GovernanceThe Board to:-1.Broadly speaking the Board supports the principles but notes2. Agree who should represent HotSW on the Leaders Forum.1. Agree the principals for GSW governance. consultation required with the public sector and local MPs. Meetings are planned and correspondence with MPs is ongoing. 2. This has been left open for more	4.2 Productivity Plan	The LEP Board supports the contents of this paper.	The board supports the
4.3 LEP Business Plan for 2017/18 The Board to:- The board supports the priorities in the paper. 1. Note Business Priorities proposed. 1. Note Business Priorities proposed. 1. Broadly speaking the Board supports the principles but notes 4.4 GSW Board Governance The Board to:- 1.Broadly speaking the Board supports the principles but notes 1. Agree the principals for GSW governance. 1. Agree who should represent HotSW on the Leaders Forum. there is more consultation required with the public sector and local MPs. Meetings are planned and correspondence with MPs is ongoing.	•		
2017/18 1. Note Business Priorities proposed. priorities in the paper. 4.4 GSW Board Governance The Board to:- 1.Broadly speaking the Board supports the principals for GSW governance. 1. Agree the principals for GSW governance. 2. Agree who should represent HotSW on the Leaders Forum. there is more consultation required with the public sector and local MPs. Meetings are planned and correspondence with MPs is ongoing. 2. This has been left open for more 1. The priorities provide feedback on the proposed focus.	-		travel.
1. Note Business Priorities proposed. 1. Note Business Priorities proposed. 2. To provide feedback on the proposed focus. 1.Broadly speaking the Board supports the principals for GSW governance. 1. Agree the principals for GSW governance. 1. Agree who should represent HotSW on the Leaders Forum. 1. Leaders Forum. 1.Broadly speaking the Board supports the principals for GSW governance. 2. Agree who should represent HotSW on the Leaders Forum. 1.Broadly speaking the Board supports the principals but notes there is more consultation required with the public sector and local MPs. Meetings are planned and correspondence with MPs is ongoing. 2. To provide feedback on the proposed focus. 2. This has been left open for more	4.3 LEP Business Plan for	The Board to:-	The board supports the
2. To provide feedback on the proposed focus. 4.4 GSW Board Governance 1. Broadly speaking the Board supports the principals for GSW governance. 2. Agree who should represent HotSW on the Leaders Forum. 4.4 GSW Board Governance 2. Agree who should represent HotSW on the Leaders Forum. 4.4 GSW Board Governance 2. Agree who should represent HotSW on the Leaders Forum. 4.4 GSW Board Governance 2. Agree who should represent HotSW on the Leaders Forum. 4.4 GSW Board Governance 2. Agree who should represent HotSW on the Leaders Forum. 4.4 GSW Board Governance 2. Agree who should represent HotSW on the Leaders Forum. 4.4 GSW Board Governance 5. Agree who should represent HotSW on the Leaders Forum. 4.4 GSW Board Governance 5. Agree who should represent HotSW on the Leaders Forum. 4.5 GSW Board Governance 5.7 This has been left open for more	2017/18		priorities in the paper.
4.4 GSW Board Governance The Board to:- 1. Broadly speaking the Board supports the principals for GSW governance. 1. Agree the principals for GSW governance. 2. Agree who should represent HotSW on the Leaders Forum. there is more consultation required with the public sector and local MPs. Meetings are planned and correspondence with MPs is ongoing. 2. This has been left open for more 1. Broadly speaking the Board supports the principles but notes there is more consultation required with the public sector and local MPs. Meetings are planned and correspondence with MPs is ongoing.		1. Note Business Priorities proposed.	
 Agree the principals for GSW governance. Agree who should represent HotSW on the Leaders Forum. Board supports the principles but notes there is more consultation required with the public sector and local MPs. Meetings are planned and correspondence with MPs is ongoing. This has been left open for more 		2. To provide feedback on the proposed focus.	
1. Agree the principals for GSW governance.principles but notes2. Agree who should represent HotSW on the Leaders Forum.there is more consultation required with the public sector and local MPs. Meetings are planned and correspondence with MPs is ongoing. 2. This has been left open for more	4.4 GSW Board Governance	The Board to:-	1.Broadly speaking the
2. Agree who should represent HotSW on the Leaders Forum.			Board supports the
Leaders Forum.consultation required with the public sector and local MPs. Meetings are planned and correspondence with MPs is ongoing. 2. This has been left open for more		1. Agree the principals for GSW governance.	principles but notes
with the public sector and local MPs. Meetings are planned and correspondence with MPs is ongoing. 2. This has been left open for more		2. Agree who should represent HotSW on the	there is more
and local MPs. Meetings are planned and correspondence with MPs is ongoing. 2. This has been left open for more		Leaders Forum.	consultation required
are planned and correspondence with MPs is ongoing. 2. This has been left open for more			-
correspondence with MPs is ongoing. 2. This has been left open for more			•
MPs is ongoing. 2. This has been left open for more			
2. This has been left open for more			•
open for more			
involvement.			•
			involvement.



4.5 Annual Strategic	The Board:- Notes the recommendations from the	The Board supports the
Review and Process	reviews and considers these as plans for changing	recommendations going
Review	how the LEP operates start to be implemented in	forward.
	the coming weeks.	
4.6 ESIF update	The Board to:- 1. Note the points in the paper.	The board notes the comments and supports next steps.
	2. Comments on the next steps for ESF	•
	implementation outlined in section 2 of this paper.	
4.7 Annual Conference	The paper provides the Board with an update on	The board supported
	progress in arranging this year's Conference and AGM.	the current work being undertaken.
	The Board to note the contents of the paper.	
4.8 Annual Accounts	The Board agrees the accounts.	The Board agrees the accounts.
4.9 Tiverton Eastern Urban	This paper sets out two options for Board approval	The Board agrees the
Extension	for how we might secure a way forward for the	funding proposals in the
	Tiverton project, which was third in priority for	paper.
	Government Growth Deal 2 support for major	
	transport projects, with a shortfall of £7.5 million	
	on the aggregate bid and therefore it was not	
	possible to allocate any funding to the Tiverton	
	Scheme.	
	1. To agree that £500,000 of Growing Places	
	Fund headroom is used as a loan to the	
	LEP's Growth Deal programme; repayable	
	from future headroom.	
	2. To issue a funding agreement for £1.5m to	
	progress Tiverton EUE if the conditions	
	attached to either of the four options outlined in this paper are satisfied.	



1.Welcome & Apologies Apologies as above.2.Declarations of interestSH: Midas has an interest in Oceansgate, Nuclear College and Yeovil Innovation Centre. SC: South Devon College interest in ESIF. JP: Plymouth University is involved in future ESIF/ERDF funding and Growth Deals. GO: Torbay Council has an interest in Edginswell Station and Torquay Gateway MW: Devon Community Foundation is involved in several partnership bids, future ESIF/ERDF funding and Building Better Opportunities. FM: on the board of EDF and NNB which is Nuclear New Build, interest in HPTA and Nuclear Enabling. SBarker: Devon County Council has an interest in Tiverton funding, South Devon College and ESIF. SF: Exeter University an interest in Growth Deal and Exeter Science Park. DH: Somerset County Council in J 25 M5SH3.Draft Minutes of last meeting 23 May and actions arising c/f Action: post 4 May County elections a meeting with the LEP, Local Authorities (LA's) and local MPs to take forward several topics i.e. Brexit, Education/Skills etc. to present a united approach and direction of travel for the HotSW area to Gov't.SH	H/CG
 2. Declarations of interest SH: Midas has an interest in Oceansgate, Nuclear College and Yeovil Innovation Centre. SC: South Devon College interest in ESIF. JP: Plymouth University is involved in future ESIF/ERDF funding and Growth Deals. GO: Torbay Council has an interest in Edginswell Station and Torquay Gateway MW: Devon Community Foundation is involved in several partnership bids, future ESIF/ERDF funding and Building Better Opportunities. FM: on the board of EDF and NNB which is Nuclear New Build, interest in HPTA and Nuclear Enabling. SBarker: Devon County Council has an interest in Tiverton funding, South Devon College and ESIF. SF: Exeter University an interest in Growth Deal and Exeter Science Park. DH: Somerset County Council in J 25 M5 3. Draft Minutes of last meeting 23 May and actions arising c/f Action: post 4 May County elections a meeting with the LEP, Local Authorities (LA's) and local MPs to take forward several topics i.e. Brexit, Education/Skills etc. to present a 	H/CG
 SH: Midas has an interest in Oceansgate, Nuclear College and Yeovil Innovation Centre. SC: South Devon College interest in ESIF. JP: Plymouth University is involved in future ESIF/ERDF funding and Growth Deals. GO: Torbay Council has an interest in Edginswell Station and Torquay Gateway MW: Devon Community Foundation is involved in several partnership bids, future ESIF/ERDF funding and Building Better Opportunities. FM: on the board of EDF and NNB which is Nuclear New Build, interest in HPTA and Nuclear Enabling. SBarker: Devon County Council has an interest in Tiverton funding, South Devon College and ESIF. SF: Exeter University an interest in Growth Deal and Exeter Science Park. DH: Somerset County Council in J 25 M5 3. Draft Minutes of last meeting 23 May and actions arising c/f Action: post 4 May County elections a meeting with the LEP, Local Authorities (LA's) and local MPs to take forward several topics i.e. Brexit, Education/Skills etc. to present a 	H/CG
 SC: South Devon College interest in ESIF. JP: Plymouth University is involved in future ESIF/ERDF funding and Growth Deals. GO: Torbay Council has an interest in Edginswell Station and Torquay Gateway MW: Devon Community Foundation is involved in several partnership bids, future ESIF/ERDF funding and Building Better Opportunities. FM: on the board of EDF and NNB which is Nuclear New Build, interest in HPTA and Nuclear Enabling. SBarker: Devon County Council has an interest in Tiverton funding, South Devon College and ESIF. SF: Exeter University an interest in Growth Deal and Exeter Science Park. DH: Somerset County Council in J 25 M5 3. Draft Minutes of last meeting 23 May and actions arising c/f Action: post 4 May County elections a meeting with the LEP, Local Authorities (LA's) and local MPs to take forward several topics i.e. Brexit, Education/Skills etc. to present a 	H/CG
 JP: Plymouth University is involved in future ESIF/ERDF funding and Growth Deals. GO: Torbay Council has an interest in Edginswell Station and Torquay Gateway MW: Devon Community Foundation is involved in several partnership bids, future ESIF/ERDF funding and Building Better Opportunities. FM: on the board of EDF and NNB which is Nuclear New Build, interest in HPTA and Nuclear Enabling. SBarker: Devon County Council has an interest in Tiverton funding, South Devon College and ESIF. SF: Exeter University an interest in Growth Deal and Exeter Science Park. DH: Somerset County Council in J 25 M5 3. Draft Minutes of last meeting 23 May and actions arising c/f Action: post 4 May County elections a meeting with the LEP, Local Authorities (LA's) and local MPs to take forward several topics i.e. Brexit, Education/Skills etc. to present a 	H/CG
 GO: Torbay Council has an interest in Edginswell Station and Torquay Gateway MW: Devon Community Foundation is involved in several partnership bids, future ESIF/ERDF funding and Building Better Opportunities. FM: on the board of EDF and NNB which is Nuclear New Build, interest in HPTA and Nuclear Enabling. SBarker: Devon County Council has an interest in Tiverton funding, South Devon College and ESIF. SF: Exeter University an interest in Growth Deal and Exeter Science Park. DH: Somerset County Council in J 25 M5 3. Draft Minutes of last meeting 23 May and actions arising c/f Action: post 4 May County elections a meeting with the LEP, Local Authorities (LA's) and local MPs to take forward several topics i.e. Brexit, Education/Skills etc. to present a 	H/CG
 MW: Devon Community Foundation is involved in several partnership bids, future ESIF/ERDF funding and Building Better Opportunities. FM: on the board of EDF and NNB which is Nuclear New Build, interest in HPTA and Nuclear Enabling. SBarker: Devon County Council has an interest in Tiverton funding, South Devon College and ESIF. SF: Exeter University an interest in Growth Deal and Exeter Science Park. DH: Somerset County Council in J 25 M5 3. Draft Minutes of last meeting 23 May and actions arising c/f Action: post 4 May County elections a meeting with the LEP, Local Authorities (LA's) and local MPs to take forward several topics i.e. Brexit, Education/Skills etc. to present a 	H/CG
 ESIF/ERDF funding and Building Better Opportunities. FM: on the board of EDF and NNB which is Nuclear New Build, interest in HPTA and Nuclear Enabling. SBarker: Devon County Council has an interest in Tiverton funding, South Devon College and ESIF. SF: Exeter University an interest in Growth Deal and Exeter Science Park. DH: Somerset County Council in J 25 M5 3. Draft Minutes of last meeting 23 May and actions arising c/f Action: post 4 May County elections a meeting with the LEP, Local Authorities (LA's) and local MPs to take forward several topics i.e. Brexit, Education/Skills etc. to present a 	H/CG
 FM: on the board of EDF and NNB which is Nuclear New Build, interest in HPTA and Nuclear Enabling. SBarker: Devon County Council has an interest in Tiverton funding, South Devon College and ESIF. SF: Exeter University an interest in Growth Deal and Exeter Science Park. DH: Somerset County Council in J 25 M5 3. Draft Minutes of last meeting 23 May and actions arising c/f Action: post 4 May County elections a meeting with the LEP, Local Authorities (LA's) and local MPs to take forward several topics i.e. Brexit, Education/Skills etc. to present a 	H/CG
Enabling.SBarker: Devon County Council has an interest in Tiverton funding, South Devon College and ESIF.SF: Exeter University an interest in Growth Deal and Exeter Science Park. DH: Somerset County Council in J 25 M53. Draft Minutes of last meeting 23 May and actions arising c/f Action: post 4 May County elections a meeting with the LEP, Local Authorities (LA's) and local MPs to take forward several topics i.e. Brexit, Education/Skills etc. to present a	H/CG
SBarker: Devon County Council has an interest in Tiverton funding, South Devon College and ESIF.SF: Exeter University an interest in Growth Deal and Exeter Science Park. DH: Somerset County Council in J 25 M53. Draft Minutes of last meeting 23 May and actions arising c/f Action: post 4 May County elections a meeting with the LEP, Local Authorities (LA's) and local MPs to take forward several topics i.e. Brexit, Education/Skills etc. to present a	H/CG
ESIF. SF: Exeter University an interest in Growth Deal and Exeter Science Park. DH: Somerset County Council in J 25 M5 3. Draft Minutes of last meeting 23 May and actions arising c/f Action: post 4 May County elections a meeting with the LEP, Local Authorities (LA's) and local MPs to take forward several topics i.e. Brexit, Education/Skills etc. to present a	H/CG
SF: Exeter University an interest in Growth Deal and Exeter Science Park. DH: Somerset County Council in J 25 M5SH3. Draft Minutes of last meeting 23 May and actions arising c/f Action: post 4 May County elections a meeting with the LEP, Local Authorities (LA's) and local MPs to take forward several topics i.e. Brexit, Education/Skills etc. to present aSH	H/CG
DH: Somerset County Council in J 25 M5 3. Draft Minutes of last meeting 23 May and actions arising c/f Action: post 4 May County elections a meeting with the LEP, Local Authorities (LA's) and local MPs to take forward several topics i.e. Brexit, Education/Skills etc. to present a	H/CG
3. Draft Minutes of last meeting 23 May and actions arising c/f Action: post 4 May County elections a meeting with the LEP, Local Authorities (LA's) and local MPs to take forward several topics i.e. Brexit, Education/Skills etc. to present aSH	H/CG
c/f Action: post 4 May County elections a meeting with the LEP, Local Authorities (LA's) and local MPs to take forward several topics i.e. Brexit, Education/Skills etc. to present a	H/CG
c/f Action: post 4 May County elections a meeting with the LEP, Local Authorities (LA's) and local MPs to take forward several topics i.e. Brexit, Education/Skills etc. to present a	H/CG
and local MPs to take forward several topics i.e. Brexit, Education/Skills etc. to present a	H/CG
united approach and direction of travel for the HotSW area to Gov't.	
c/f Action: Post Election to seek clarity on Gov't policy on non-devolution areas. Awaiting	
further clarity and possibly a paper from Gov't (to be confirmed) also, an explanation of SH	H/CG
what constitutes a rural area. LA's are also meeting MPs after the summer recess.	
c/f Action: To produce an updated format for the annual LEP financial accounts.	
This was unable to be completed by the Accountable body for July's board meeting, but	G
will be included in the LEP's Annual Review in time for the September board meeting.	
All other actions completed and the minutes were accepted as accurate.	
A presentation update on Digital Connectivity was given by David Hall,	
Somerset County Council (presentation already forwarded).	
The Connecting Devon and Somerset initiative is a partnership covering the HotSW area plus	
North Somerset, Bath and East Somerset. Its main objective is to support businesses and	
communities by improving broadband connectivity, targeting hard to reach places which are	
prone to "market failure" through not being viable for commercial connection.	
Comments made as follows:- the possibility of enshrining the use of fibre optic cabling	
within building regulations; some areas can only connect via a mobile signal (still patchy in	
areas); it will be a long time before the area receives full 5g coverage; the implications on	



local enterprise partnership	
smart meter rollout; no joined up approach to connectivity between the rail network and the	
providers (Peninsula Rail Task Force also pursing this point); the need to persuade Gov't to	
facilitate the sharing of masts between providers e.g. a publicly funded mast is required to	
be available to all, not so for commercial masts.	
Action: To press Gov't to take forward the availability of publicly funded masts via local	LA's &
MPs.	SH/CG
4. Chief Executive's Report	
4.1 Change Management of Project Pipeline funding to maintain the LEP & it's priorities.	
To date no Growth Deal (GD) Projects have failed to proceed. In future if a GD project	
does fail or needs less funding, this paper sets out an approach to be applied to the GD	
funds released that ensures the LEP has sufficient funds for programme management	
(i.e. employment of LA staff to do necessary work) and for further projects that meets	
HotSW strategic priorities.	
The following points were discussed:-	
 Whether future decisions on projects should be based on the HotSW productivity plan, 	
as opposed to the GD 3 prioritisation list (now over 18 months old), or monies be kept in	
the original geographical area the project came from? A compromise was agreed to stick	
with the current timeline, but within this transition period to business test the next	
projects on the GD 3 list to ensure they are fit for purpose, still relevant and their	
deliverability against increasing productivity.	
Clarification was provided on the necessity for a 2 year reserve – GD monies are spent on	
an annual basis but the top slice is taken at the beginning of the project, effectively in	
advance to act as a buffer. The last SIP and Finance and Resources meeting felt it was	
necessary for the Board to view a rolling 3 year budget which shows reserves.	
The board agreed with the recommendations in the paper subject to:	
Action: Re – business case testing is needed on all projects proposed and the Board to be	
provided a short analysis of the decision making process to ensure the Board is kept up-	CG/EJ
to-date.	
Action: Once the productivity plan has been finalised, to revisit the prioritisation criteria	CG
for GD 3 projects in or around Jan/Feb 2018 time.	
<u>4.2 Productivity Plan update & Annex A & B (see paper)</u>	
Following discussions at the May Board and taking those comments into account, to ensure	
the plan is more outcome orientated, this provides an update on the Productivity Plan.	
Most of this work has been brought back in house, drawing upon the economic	
development teams within the LAs to develop the narrative. The caveat being that the high	
level actions on annex b need to be worked up in more detail and are not set in stone. EJ	
took the Board through both annexes.	
The framework is beginning to come together, the issue in developing the plan is to be able	
to demonstrate clearly how the outcomes will make a difference. The three pillars of:-	
Leadership & Management	



People

Place

are not interdependent, there is much crossover between the projects and they need to dovetail together i.e. they are all connected by skills, highlighting gaps in the education system and especially with what's happening in schools. Leadership and management skills are not necessarily about academic learning, but for businesses owners/manager to acquire knowledge and best practice – perhaps more informally through clusters. A draft Plan is targeted for LEP Board review on 19th September and Leaders' review on 22nd September. Following these dates the plan will be consulted on more widely with a final Plan by end 2017 with further consultation underway with business and the education sector. The board agreed the need to find out why businesses of all sizes are not investing at the same level as other areas of the country and what barriers might be affecting this lack of growth, such as skills, labour, housing, connectivity, and lifestyle. It is also acknowledged that it is difficult for small businesses to measure "growth value added" (GVA). However, measurement is critical for future analysis and to benchmark LEP interventions'.

The Board was supportive of the paper.

4.3 LEP Business Plan 17/18 (see paper)

Pending the finalisation of the Productivity Plan, an interim Business Plan was presented, with priorities being the Productivity Plan itself, effective delivery of current live projects and preparing for future funding opportunities, how bids can align and to ensure the maximisation of support packages and endorsements going forward.

Although, there has been no further news recently with reference to the Institutes of Technology (IOTs), these remain in the Gov't matrix of funding opportunities. It was also noted that there are no capital monies going forward for Higher & Further Education (HE/FE).

The Board agreed the priorities.

<u>4.4 GSW Governance & short presentation by Rozz Algar – SW Partnerships Manager</u> (see paper & presentation forwarded)

A wide range of leaders from organisations across the South West of England (including from the public, business and education sectors) have been discussing how they can add value through cross LEP working and work together under a joint branding initiative to enable a common strategy for increased productivity and prosperity for all (similar to that of the Northern Power House and Midlands Engine. The Geat South West is the working title for this. This papers proposes the LEP's agreement as to how this can be formalised – similar papers are going to the boards of other LEPs in the SW.

The board broadly welcomed the branding initiative. Members recognise the benefits of cross-boundary collaboration for destination marketing and securing investment from Gov't at a strategic level.

Some board members are concerned about the process at this early-stage in the project. The LEP chief executive confirmed that the exercise is in response to government



consultation, the initiative is business-led and gave assurance that consultation with the Board and public sector leaders will continue. Some points to address in improving the campaign were:- Re-emphasise the need to include Bristol and the West of England LEP area into the south west product, to give it validity and relevance to the national and global market. (Businesses in the West of England area have already expressed support). Consult with board thoroughly over priorities, branding and scope of Great South West and ensure that the Pennon Group-led #BackTheSouthWest campaign is not a rival but complementary to Great South West.	
Include the further education sector.	
Action: The Board were supportive of the overall concept, however felt it is necessary to increase communication/consultation with local partners such as LAs and MPs before progessing.	CG/RA/HD
 <u>4.5 Annual Strategic Review & Process Review (see paper)</u> The paper provides the Board with feedback from the Annual Strategic Review and the Process Review. The reviews were commissioned in early 2017 to consider a) progress towards the objectives in the Strategic Economic Plan (SEP) and b) the ways in which the LEP operates and makes investment decisions. Feedback is that the LEP is working well across partners and geographies and is seen as wellled with a lean team that achieves a lot. It is recognised that the Heart of the SW area is a relatively new construct so there has been a period of 'institution building' to enable the geography to work cohesively as a whole. More focus is to be given on:- improving linkages, productivity, governance and partnership between the private and public sector especially via the Leadership groups, helped by input from the LEP Network. recognition of continued transparency of SIP decision making. improvements in Low Carbon demonstrability ensuring the Productivity Plan has a specific Delivery Plan attached with it to encompass the LEP and its wider geographical partners. 	
<u>4.6 ESIF update (see paper)</u> This paper updates the Board on implementation of the Heart of the South West European Structural and Investment Funds (ESIF) Strategy.	
EJ continues to liaise with Stephen Bird (ex- Board Director) who chairs the ESIF Sub Committee, to ascertain if there are any specific issues that need to be brought to the Board's attention. Options are being considered on how to address the shortfall in skills match funding due to delays with the managing authority – the Department for Work and Pensions and are the subject of ongoing discussions in the People Leadership group. Unfortunately, as reiterated in previous Board minutes, the loss of £20m from the Skills Funding Agency (SFA) had too many constraints against how this could be used and was non re-negotiable.	





4. Chief Executive's Report

Concern was raised by a board member about the lack of geographical spread of the private sector directors and this was responded to by explaining that the governance structure doesn't set geographical criteria on private sector members, only local authority ones who are elected to represent their area. Private sector members are appointed on their expertise and it was confirmed that LEP priorities are set according to strategic HotSW-wide effect, not geography.

No further comments or queries were raised.

5. Papers for Noting	
No comment.	
6. AOB	
None.	
The Chairman thanked all for a very productive Board meeting.	

Next Board meeting:- 19 September, 2017 10.00am – 1.00pm at Exeter Racecourse Conference Centre